WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date: 2/5/24 Inspector: Kyle BACKSfrow				
Time:	3:15 pm Weather Conditions: Part	1 Son	m 45	
y manananan		Yes	No	Notes
CCR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		
-1;	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	ilmudumkennilalidikedik i di	K	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	<u> </u>	と	The second state of the se
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	4	#	
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	X		
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	i	X	

Additional Notes: